arXiv:1611.07749v1 [cond-mat.str-el] 23 Nov 2016

Calculated g-factors of $5d$ double perovskites Ba_2NaOsO_6 and Ba_2YOsO_6

Kyo-Hoon Ahn,[1] Karel Pajskr,[2] Kwan-Woo Lee,[1,3,*] and Jan Kuneš[4,5,†]

[1]*Department of Applied Physics, Graduate School, Korea University, Sejong 33019, Korea*
[2]*Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Department of Condensed Matter Physics, Ke Karlovu 5, 121 16 Prague 2, Czech Republic*
[3]*Department of Display and Semiconductor Physics, Korea University, Sejong 33019, Korea*
[4]*Institute of Solid State Physics, TU Wien, Wiedner Hauptstr. 8, 1040 Wien, Austria*
[5]*Institute of Physics, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Cukrovarnická 10, Praha 6, 162 53, Czech Republic*

(Dated: November 24, 2016)

Using Wannier functions to represent the density functional results we calculate the hybridization corrections to the orbital momentum operator in the Os $5d$ shell of Mott insulators Ba_2NaOsO_6 and Ba_2YOsO_6. The g-factors are obtained by evaluating the spin and orbital momentum operators in the atomic ground states of the Os ion. While the hybridization corrections play minor role in d^3 ion of Ba_2YOsO_6 with dominant spin moment, they are instrumental for observation of non-zero g-factor of the d^1 ions of Ba_2NaOsO_6. In addition we analyze the exchange interactions in Ba_2YOsO_6 and find them consistent with the reported magnetic structure.

PACS numbers:

I. INTRODUCTION

The high temperature paramagnetic moment is one of the basic characteristics of magnetic materials which is often used to draw conclusions about the underlying atomic multiplets. In Mott insulators the charge fluctuations are quenched and the low-energy physics is usually governed by a single atomic multiplet. Its symmetry properties (degeneracy), captured by pseudospin description, are determined by the gross features of the ligand field and do not depend on the material details. The size of the local magnetic moment, encoded in the g-factor, is affected by hybridization with ligands which modifies the shape of the local orbitals. This is particularly important for heavier d^1 ions in strong cubic crystal-field. The spin-orbit interaction (SOI) selects the $J_{\rm eff} = 3/2$ quadruplet to be the ground state. For purely d orbitals the spin and orbital contributions to the magnetic moment within the $J_{\rm eff} = 3/2$ subspace exactly cancel each other leading to $g = 0$.[1] Magnetic response of such ion can therefore arise only due to mixing with higher lying multiplets or hybridization correction to the g-factor. $Ba_2NaOsO_6$is a rare example of a d^1 insulators with cubic symmetry.

In this article, we present numerical calculation of g-factors based on the Wannier orbitals and diagonalization of the atomic problem. It is applied to two $5d$ double-perovskite insulators Ba_2NaOsO_6 (BNOO) and Ba_2YOsO_6 (BYOO) that attracted some interest recently.[2,3]

II. NUMERICAL APPROACH

A. Electronic structure calculations

First we carried out *ab initio* calculations within the the generalized gradient approximation[4] to the density functional theory using the full-potential code WIEN2K.[5,6] We studied three compounds: the cubic perovskite $SrVO_3$ (SVO) as a simple model system to debug our codes and two cubic double perovskites BNOO and BYOO as the actual goals of the present work. The following lattice parameters were used: a =3.8425 Å for SVO[7] and a =8.2870 (8.5341) with the oxygen internal parameter x =0.2272 (0.2350) for BNOO (BYOO)[8,9]. The spin-orbit interaction (SOI) was included for the $5d$ systems of BNOO and BYOO, while not for the $3d$ system SVO.



FIG. 1: (color online) d_{xy} WF plot of (a) SVO and (b) BNOO. The latter is identical to that of BYOO. The isovalues are 2.0 for SVO and 0.5 for BNOO.

The WIEN2K bases were characterized by $R_{mt}K_{max} = 7$ and muffin-tin spheres with radii in atomic units: Sr (2.5), V (1.91), and O (1.70) for SVO; Ba (2.5), Y (2.18), Os (2.03), and O (1.66) for BYOO; Ba (2.5), Na (2.15), Os (1.96), and O (1.61) for BNOO.

B. Evaluation of angular and spin momenta

Next, the Wannier functions (WFs) with the $|d_{xy},\uparrow\rangle$, $|d_{yz},\uparrow\rangle$, $|d_{xz},\uparrow\rangle$, $|d_{xy},\downarrow\rangle$, $|d_{yz},\downarrow\rangle$, and $|d_{xz},\downarrow\rangle$ symmetry



FIG. 2: (color online) Convergence of the weight of the Wannier function ($|\psi|^2$) and the one-particle orbital g-factor (g_l) with the spherical harmonic order l for BNOO. Note that there is no contribution of the odd l terms because of the WF parity. These data are nearly identical for each t_{2g} orbital of BNOO and BYOO.

spanning the isolated t_{2g} manifold were obtained using the WANNIER90[10] and WIEN2WANNIER[11] codes. Without SOI these WFs are well defined by their symmetry properties. With SOI this in general is not the case due to mixing of the spin species. However, since the effect of SOI is predominantly localized on the $5d$ ion, it is possible to construct the WFs which are to high accuracy eigenstates of the spin S_z operator. The on-site one-particle Hamiltonian in this t_{2g} Wannier basis has the textbook form.

We have used $8\times8\times8$ k-mesh for SVO and $4\times4\times4$ k-mesh for BNOO and BYOO, which ensured that the WFs were spatially well separated from their aliases. The normalized WFs $\psi_\alpha(\mathbf{r})$ were expanded in spherical harmonics inside a sphere of with radius $4a$ ($\sqrt{2}a$) around the V (Os) atom for SVO (BNOO,BYOO) .

$$\psi_\alpha(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{l,m} c^\alpha_{lm}(r) Y_{lm}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}). \tag{1}$$

To this end we have employed a dense spherical mesh of 100×60×120 and 400×180×360 of (r,θ,ϕ) points for SVO and BNOO/BYOO, respectively. The maximum values of l for this expansion were l_{max}=16 for SVO and 30 for BNOO and BYOO, which were sufficient to capture 99% of the WF.

The spherical harmonic representation allows straightforward evaluation of the angular momentum matrix elements in the t_{2g} subspace $\mathbf{l}_{\alpha\beta}$

$$\begin{aligned} l^z_{\alpha\beta} &\equiv < \psi_\alpha|\hat{l}^z|\psi_\beta > = \sum_{l,m} m(c^\alpha_{lm}|c^\beta_{lm}) \\ l^+_{\alpha\beta} &= \sum_{l,m} \sqrt{l(l+1)-m(m+1)}(c^\alpha_{lm+1}|c^\beta_{lm}) \\ l^x_{\alpha\beta} &= \frac{l^+_{\alpha\beta}+(l^+_{\alpha\beta})^\dagger}{2}, l^y_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{l^+_{\alpha\beta}-(l^+_{\alpha\beta})^\dagger}{2i}. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Here, $(f_1|f_2)$ stands for the radial integral $(f_1|f_2) = \int_0^\infty dr\ r^2\ f_1^*(r) f_2(r)$. For the cubic site symmetry, $\mathbf{l}$ has the same form as for pure d orbitals ($\mathbf{l}_d$). The higher harmonics in the site expansion of WFs introduce a multiplicative correction factor $\mathbf{l} = \gamma \mathbf{l}_d$. The physical origin of γ is the hybridization with ligands. Using matrix elements of Eq. (2) we can write the orbital momentum operator in the t_{2g} subspace

$$\hat{\mathbf{l}} = \sum_{m,n} \mathbf{l}_{mn} c^\dagger_m c_n \tag{3}$$

with $m \equiv k\alpha$ being spin/orbital indices. There is no hybridization screening of the spin moment and thus the spin momentum operator has the standard form

$$\hat{\mathbf{s}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k,\alpha,\beta} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} c^\dagger_{k\alpha} c_{k\beta}. \tag{4}$$

As the final step, we diagonalize the on-site Hamiltonian including the on-site Coulomb interaction and SOI. We denote the matrix elements of the orbital $\hat{\mathbf{l}}$ and spin $\hat{\mathbf{s}}$ momenta within the ground state multiplet with capital $\mathbf{L}$ and $\mathbf{S}$, respectively. Comparing of the magnetic moment elements $\mathbf{M} = \mu_B(2\mathbf{S}+\mathbf{L})$ to the ground state pseudospin operator $\mathbf{J}$, we obtain the g-factor and the effective paramagnetic moment μ_{eff}.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Test case: $SrVO_3$

To test our implementation of Eq. (2) we have chosen a simple system without SOI. Since $SrVO_3$ is a metal, we do not assign a physical significance to this result. We merely want to show that $\mathbf{l}$ has the expected form. Evaluating Eq. (2) yields

$$\boldsymbol{l_x} = i \begin{pmatrix} 0.0000 & 0.0000 & -0.7955 \\ 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 \\ 0.7955 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 \end{pmatrix} \tag{5}$$

$$\boldsymbol{l_y} = i \begin{pmatrix} 0.0000 & 0.7955 & 0.0000 \\ -0.7955 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 \\ 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 \end{pmatrix} \tag{6}$$

$$\boldsymbol{l_z} = i \begin{pmatrix} 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 \\ 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.7956 \\ 0.0000 & -0.7956 & 0.0000 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{7}$$

which amounts to $\gamma = 0.7956$.

B. d^3 case: Ba_2YOsO_6

The structural and magnetic properties of BYOO ware recently investigated by Kermarrec *et al.*[8], who reported a frustrated A-type antiferromagnet (AFM) with the Néel temperature $T_N \sim 69$ K, Curie-Weiss temperature $\Theta = 717$ K, and the effective paramagnetic moment $\mu_{\text{eff}} = 3.91\ \mu_B$ close to the spin only value of 3.87 μ_B.

Using the above procedure we have obtained γ of 0.587 for Wannier functions built from the subspace spanning the Os $5d$ bands (d-only model). Next, we have used the on-site part of the tight-binding Hamiltonian (including SOI) augmented with the atomic-like Coulomb interaction, parameterized with $U = 1.8$ eV and $J = 0.4$ eV[12], and diagonalized it. The fourfold degenerate ground state can be represented as $J = 3/2$ pseudospin. Calculating the matrix elements of the magnetic moment $\mathbf{M} = \mu_B(2\mathbf{S} + \mathbf{L})$ in the ground state multiplet, we arrive at the g-factor of 1.89, leading to $\mu_{\text{eff}} = 3.66\mu_B$. The matrix elements of $\mathbf{S_z}$ and $\mathbf{L}_z$ are shown in the Appendix. This shows that even the relatively strong SOI leads to only a small correction in case of a half-filled $5d$ (t_{2g}) shell and that the local moment is dominated by the spin component.[13]

Next, we have calculated the effective interaction between the $J = 3/2$ pseudospins on the nearest- and next-nearest-neighbor (NNN) bonds. A full 16×16 interaction matrix was calculated using the perturbation theory in hopping ('t^2/U'-expansion) and expanded in spherical tensors. Consistent with the dominant spin nature of the pseudospins we find that the isotropic dipole-dipole exchange dominates by more than order of magnitude. A small anisotropy was found between $J_\parallel = 2.96$ meV and $J_\perp = 3.13$ meV the interaction of components in and out-of the cubic plane for a given NN bond. The NNN interactions are about 30 times weaker than the NN ones. The dominant NN AFM interaction is consistent with the A-type ordering. We also point out the exchange anisotropy favors the ordered moments to lie in the ferromagnetic (FM) planes. However, the observed anisotropy is rather small to draw solid conclusions without detailed calculations, which are beyond the scope of the present study. The estimated Curie-Weiss temperature $\Theta = 12J_{\text{NN}} \simeq 420$ K is somewhat smaller than the experimental value. Both the theoretical and experimental values contain uncertainties. On the theoretical side it is the value of the on-site Coulomb interaction U and the limitations of the perturbative expansion. On the experimental side it is in particular the temperature range of the study which is substantially below the experimental Θ as pointed by authors of Ref. [8].

C. d^1 case: Ba_2NaOsO_6

BNOO is a unusual example of d^1 Mott insulator. It exhibits a FM polarization below 10 K.[2,14] The crystal and magnetic structures are still under debate. Specific heat measurements indicate that only $\ln 2$ entropy is released above the transition temperature which contradicts the fourfold degenerate $J = 3/2$ ground state in cubic site symmetry. The structural measurements, nevertheless, indicate that a potential non-cubic distortion must be rather small. In the following, we address the question of the origin of the high temperature paramagnetic response and the size of the g-factor. This is motivated by an observation that the magnetic moment $\mathbf{M} = \mu_B(2\mathbf{S}+\mathbf{L})$ has only zero matrix elements within the ground state $J = 3/2$ multiplet of d^1 system.[1] In other words, in the $J = 3/2$ quadruplet the spin and orbital contributions to the magnetic moment exactly compensate each other. This conclusion remains valid also in case of weak non-cubic perturbations as long as the mixing with excited $J = 1/2$ states can be neglected. The likely explanation of the paramagnetic moment is the fact that the local orbitals (Wannier functions) do not have the exact d-symmetry, but contain also higher harmonics which rescale the orbital momentum by γ.

The interaction is not effective in the d^1 case and thus we only need to consider the SOI. The relationship between g and γ in the $J = 3/2$ ground state is given by $g = \frac{2}{3}(1-\gamma)$. Our calculations yield $\gamma = 0.536$ leading to $g = 0.31$ and $\mu_{\text{eff}} \simeq 0.60\mu_B$. The calculated matrix elements $\mathbf{l}$ as well as $\mathbf{S}_z$ and $\mathbf{L}_z$ are shown in the Appendix. This value compares well to the experimentally reported values of 0.596-0.647[14] and 0.677[9].

IV. CONCLUSIONS

We have presented a first principles calculation of the g-factors of $5d$ ions in selected double perovskite Mott insulators. The calculated effective paramagnetic moments μ_{eff} compare well to their experimental counterpart obtained from Curie-Weiss fits to the high temperature susceptibility. We find that even in the case of $5d$ electrons with relatively strong SOI the half-filled shell d^3 configuration is dominated by the first Hund's rule and the local moments have predominantly spin character. In d^1 configuration the spin and orbital contributions to μ_{eff} cancel each other for orbitals with pure d character. The admixture of higher harmonics to the d-like Wannier functions due to the hybridization with ligands partially lifts this exact cancelation and gives rise to small μ_{eff} observed in experiments.

V. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research at KU was supported by NRF of Korea Grant No. NRF-2016R1A2B4009579. J.K. and K.-H. A. acknowledge the support of the Czech Science Foundation through Project No. 13-25251S.

* Electronic address: mckwan@korea.ac.kr

† Electronic address: kunes@fzu.cz

[1] A. Abragam and B. Bleaney, Electron Paramagnetic Resonance of Transition Ions, (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1970) p. 421.
[2] K.-W. Lee and W. E. Pickett, Europhys. Lett. **80**, 37008 (2007).
[3] S. Gangopadhyay and W. E. Pickett, Phys. Rev. B **93**, 155126 (2016).
[4] J. P. Perdew, K. Burke, and M. Ernzerhof, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 3865 (1996).
[5] K. Schwarz, and P. Blaha, Comput. Mater. Sci. **28**, 259 (2003).
[6] P. Blaha, K. Schwarz, G. K. H. Madsen, D. Kvasnicka, and J Luitz, WIEN2k: an Augmented Plane Wave + Local Orbitals Program for Calculating Crystal Properties (Austria: Karlheinz Schwarz, Techn. Universitaet Wien) (2001).
[7] Y. C. Lan, X. L. Chen, and M. He, J. Alloys Comp. **354**, 95 (2003).
[8] E. Kermarrec, C. A. Marjerrison, C. M. Thompson, D. D. Maharaj, K. Levin, S. Kroeker, G. E. Granroth, R. Flacau, Z. Yamani, J. E. Greedan, and B. D. Gaulin, Phys. Rev. B **91**, 075133 (2015).
[9] K. E. Stitzer, M. D. Smith, and H.-C. zur Loye, Solid State Sci. **4**, 311 (2002).
[10] A. A. Mostofi, J. R. Yates, Y.-S. Lee, I. Souza, D. Vanderbilt, and N. Marzari, Comput. Phys. Commun. **178**, 685 (2008).
[11] J. Kuneš, R. Arita, P. Wissgott, A. Toschi, H. Ikeda, and K. Held, Comput. Phys. Commun. **181**, 1888 (2010).
[12] K. Pajskr, P. Novák, V. Pokorný, J. Kolorenč, R. Arita, and J. Kuneš Phys. Rev. B **93**, 035129 (2016).
[13] M.-C. Jung, Y.-J. Song, K.-W. Lee, and W. E. Pickett, Phys. Rev. B **87**, 115119 (2013).
[14] A. S. Erickson, S. Misra, G. J. Miller, R. R. Gupta, Z. Schlesinger, W. A. Harrison, J. M. Kim, and I. R. Fisher Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 016404 (2007).

VI. APPENDIX

The matrix elements of orbital momentum operator $\hat{\mathbf{l}}$ in $5d$ shell of Os in BNOO in the basis of $\{|d_{xy},\uparrow>$, $|d_{yz},\uparrow>$, $|d_{xz},\uparrow>$, $|d_{xy},\downarrow>$, $|d_{yz},\downarrow>$, and $|d_{xz},\downarrow>\}$ WFs calculated according to Eqs. (1) and (2).

$$\boldsymbol{l_x} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.000 & 0.013 & -0.536i & 0.008 & 0.000 & 0.000 \\ 0.013 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.041 & -0.013i \\ 0.536i & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 & -0.013i & 0.008 \\ 0.008 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 & -0.013 & -0.536i \\ 0.000 & 0.041 & 0.013i & -0.013 & 0.000 & 0.000 \\ 0.000 & 0.013i & 0.008 & 0.536i & 0.000 & 0.000 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\boldsymbol{l_y} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.000 & -0.536i & -0.013 & -0.008i & 0.000 & 0.000 \\ 0.536i & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 & -0.008i & 0.013 \\ -0.013 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.013 & -0.041i \\ 0.008i & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 & -0.536i & 0.013 \\ 0.000 & 0.008i & 0.013 & 0.536i & 0.000 & 0.000 \\ 0.000 & 0.013 & 0.041i & 0.013 & 0.000 & 0.000 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\boldsymbol{l_z} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.041 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.014 & 0.014i \\ 0.000 & 0.008 & -0.536i & 0.014 & 0.000 & 0.000 \\ 0.000 & 0.536i & 0.008 & 0.014i & 0.000 & 0.000 \\ 0.000 & 0.014 & -0.014i & -0.041 & 0.000 & 0.000 \\ 0.014 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 & -0.008 & -0.536i \\ -0.014i & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.536i & -0.008 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Due to the SOI the spin projection and t_{2g} character are only approximately conserved. This gives rise to the additional matrix elements. These are, nevertheless, more than order of magnitude smaller than the dominant terms, and not considered in the evaluation of the g-factor. The results for BYOO show a similar picture.

The matrix elements of the spin and orbital momentum operator in the $J = 3/2$ ground state of BYOO (d^3). The basis functions are indexed by $J_z = 3/2, 1/2, -1/2, -3/2$.

$$\boldsymbol{S_z} = \begin{pmatrix} 1.442 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 \\ 0.000 & 0.481 & 0.000 & 0.000 \\ 0.000 & 0.000 & -0.481 & 0.000 \\ 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 & -1.442 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\boldsymbol{L_z} = 0.587 \times \begin{pmatrix} -0.058 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 \\ 0.000 & -0.019 & 0.000 & 0.000 \\ 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.019 & 0.000 \\ 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.058 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The matrix elements of the spin and orbital momentum operator in the $J = 3/2$ ground state of BNOO (d^1). The basis functions are indexed by $J_z = 3/2, 1/2, -1/2, -3/2$. Note that when e_g–t_{2g} mixing can be neglected (this case) the basis functions are completely specified by symmetry.

$$\boldsymbol{S_z} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{6} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{6} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\boldsymbol{L_z} = 0.536 \times \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{3} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$